State of New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: June 27, 2024	PM-124-24
In the Matter of ATTORNEYS IN VIOLATION OF JUDICIARY LAW § 468-a.	
ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, Petitioner;	DECISION AND ORDER ON MOTION
WILLEM LODEWIKUS PRETORIUS, Respondent.	
(Attorney Registration No. 4076105).	
Calendar Date: May 20, 2024	
Monica A. Duffy, Attorney Grievance Department, Albany (Alison M. Coan of cou the Third Judicial Department.	Committee for the Third Judicial nsel), for Attorney Grievance Committee for
Corrigan, McCoy & Bush, PLLC, Rerespondent.	nsselaer (Scott W. Bush of counsel), for

Motion by respondent for an order reinstating him to the practice of law following

his suspension by May 2019 order of this Court (*Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a*, 172 AD3d 1706, 1748 [3d Dept 2019]; *see* Rules for Atty

Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16; Rules of App Div, 3d Dept [22 NYCRR] § 806.16).¹

Upon reading respondent's notice of motion and affidavit with exhibits sworn to February 1, 2024, his supplemental affidavit sworn to May 17, 2024 and the May 13, 2024 responsive correspondence from the Attorney Grievance Committee for the Third Judicial Department, and having determined, by clear and convincing evidence, that (1) respondent has satisfied the requirements of Rules of the Appellate Division, Third Department (22 NYCRR) § 806.16 (c) (5), (2) respondent has complied with the order of suspension and the rules of this Court, (3) respondent has the requisite character and fitness to practice law, and (4) it would be in the public interest to reinstate respondent to the practice of law (*see* Rules for Atty Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16 [a]), it is

ORDERED that respondent's motion for reinstatement is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent is reinstated as an attorney and counselor-at-law, effective immediately.

Garry, P.J., Clark, Reynolds Fitzgerald, Ceresia and McShan, JJ., concur.

ENTER:

Robert D. Mayberger Clerk of the Court

¹ We note that respondent previously sought reinstatement, which motion was denied by this Court in July 2023 (*see Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a [Pretorious]*, 218 AD3d 954 [3d Dept 2023]), as he had failed to supply proof of his compliance with Rules of the Appellate Division, Third Department (22 NYCRR) § 806.16 (c) (5).